|                                 | Case 2:22-cv-01473-KJM-KJN Document 49   | 9 Filed 07/20/23 Page 1 of 1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| 1                               |  |                              |
| 2                               |  |                              |
| 3                               |  |                              |
| 4                               |  |                              |
| 5                               | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |                              |
| 6                               | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |                              |
| 7                               |  |                              |
| 8                               | Ascentium Capital, LLC,  | No. 22-cv-1473-KJM-KJN       |
| 9                               | Plaintiff,   | ORDER                        |
| 10                              | v.   |                              |
| 11                              | Dean K. Otaka MD, Inc., et al.,  |                              |
| 12                              | Defendants/Third-  |                              |
| 13                              | Party Plaintiffs,  |                              |
| 14                              | v.   |                              |
| 15                              | Cynosure, LLC,   |                              |
| 16                              | Third-Party<br>Defendant.  |                              |
| 17                              | Defendant.   |                              |
| 18                              |  |                              |
| 19                              | On July 6, 2023, this court directed parties to submit a joint status report regarding the       |                              |
| 20                              | status of settlement and whether plaintiff's pending motion for summary judgment is moot. ECF    |                              |
| 21                              | No. 48. As of the date of this order, parties have not filed any documents with the court. Thus, |                              |
| 22                              | plaintiff is ordered to show cause within fourteen days why this action should not be            |                              |
| 23                              | dismissed for lack of prosecution under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). See Hernandez v.  |                              |
| 24                              | City of El Monte, 138 F.3d 393, 399 (9th Cir. 1998) (citing Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421   |                              |
| <ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul> | (9th Cir. 1986)). Additionally, the court vacates the hearing for plaintiff's motion for         |                              |
| 27                              | summary judgment scheduled for August 11, 2023, ECF No. 42.  IT IS SO ORDERED.                   |                              |
| 28                              | DATED: July 19, 2023.  |                              |
| 20                              | CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE   |                              |